

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(107)

CWP-9191-2019

Date of Decision: April 05, 2019

Subash Chand

.. Petitioner

Versus

**UHBVNL (Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd), Panchkula
and others**

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was granted promotion from UDC to Commercial Assistant in the year 2011. Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was not relieved in pursuance to the order of promotion due to which he failed to join on the promoted post. As the petitioner could not join the promoted post, the respondents by terming the said action to mean that petitioner had foregone his promotion hence did not grant the petitioner the benefit of second ACP on completion of 20 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that on the basis of wrong facts, the petitioner has been denied the benefit of the grant of ACP after completion of 10 years and 20 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a representation dated 22.09.2018 (Annexure P-11) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound

direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 22.09.2018 (Annexure P-11) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 22.09.2018 (Annexure P-11), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 22.09.2018 (Annexure P-11) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

April 05, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No