

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 19846 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.7.2019

Bikram Singh

.. Petitioner

VS

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Harinder Singh Sidhu**

Present Mr. P. S. Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajiv Sharma, J.

The petitioner has laid challenge to the order rendered by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, in OA No. 060/ 00362/ 2016 dated 13.1.2017.

The brief facts necessary for adjudication of the present writ petition are that the petitioner claimed that he was appointed as Casual Labourer (Frash) in the Central Excise, Range 1 and 2, Mandi Gobindgarh, on 2.6.2004. According to the petitioner, his appointment was camouflage and in fact, he was employee of the Central Excise Department.

The petitioner filed OA seeking direction to the respondents to treat him as the direct employee of the principal employer i.e. the respondent-department. The original application was dismissed by the learned Tribunal on 13.1.2017. It is in these circumstances, the present writ petition has been filed against the order dated 13.1.2017.

The petitioner has not led any cogent evidence that he was appointed by the respondent-department as Casual Labourer (Frash) on 2.6.2004. The issuance of letter dated 17.3.2006 was also disputed. The petitioner has not even placed on record his appointment letter. Annexure P-3 (colly) dated 5.3.2002 and 13.11.2002 are only the certificates.

There is no illegality or perversity in the order passed by the learned Tribunal. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajiv Sharma)
Judge

22.7.2019
vs

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No