

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33276 of 2012
DECIDED ON: 21.02.2019

TARSEM KUMAR AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS...

VERSUS

SUB-DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE, AMLOH
AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Ajay Singh Parmar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Ajay Singh Parmar, Advocate has appeared and filed fresh vakalatnama on behalf of petitioners superseding earlier counsel, with no objection. The same is taken on record. Be tagged at appropriate place.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that instant petition has rendered infructuous, because in compliance to order dated 08.04.2015 passed by this Court, the trial court has decided civil suits of both the sides in favour of respondents No.2 and 3. Pursuant thereto, vide order dated 26.10.2016, Sub Divisional Magistrate, Amloh has finally decided Kalandra proceedings dated

15.02.2011 (P-1), under Section 145 Cr.P.C. in favour of Vinod Sharda and Ravi Raj Khosle. The said kalandra was the bone of contention, to which challenge has been laid in the instant petition.

In view of the above, instant petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

21.02.2019

sonika

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No