

CRM-M-15385-2019 (O&M)
CRM-M-18116-2019 (O&M)
CRM-M-14868-2019 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

225

Date of Decision :9.5.2019

CRM-M-15385-2019 (O&M)

Gurinder Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CRM-M-18116-2019 (O&M)

Gurinder Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CRM-M-14868-2019 (O&M)

Harvinder Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. R.S.Cheema, Senior Advocate with
Mr. A.S.Cheema, Advocate
for the petitioner in **CRM-M-15385-2019 and**
in **CRM-M-18116-2019.**

Mr. D.S.Sobti, Advocate for the petitioner
in **CRM-M-14868-2019.**

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Senior DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

These bail applications have been filed on 10.4.2019 and vide a common order they shall be decided since they have been arisen out of the similar offence.

Petition bearing CRM-M-15385-2019 has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case FIR No.10 dated 17.8.2017 and petitions bearing CRM-M-14868-2019 and CRM-M-18116-2019 in case FIR No. 3 dated 1.3.2018 registered under Sections 406, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 477A, 201, 120B IPC and Section 13 (1) (d) read with 13 (2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 registered at police station Vigilance Bureau, Flying Squad, Phase-1 District Mohali.

CRM-M-15385-2019 and CRM-M-18116-2019

On 10.4.2019 the following order was passed in CRM-M-15385-2019 :-

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail. It is not disputed that the petitioner has been in custody since 13.12.2017 and, thus, has undergone custody of about one year and five months. Prima facie I was of the view that he would be entitled to bail only on the ground of custody. However, learned Sr. DAG Punjab, on instructions from Shri Ashish Kapoor, AIG, has expressed grave apprehension that in six cases supplementary challans have still to be filed and that there is serious apprehension that if released on bail the petitioner would try to still divert the investigation. On the other hand learned counsel for the petitioner has countered by arguing that in this matter investigation has been going on for two and half years and, therefore, this argument is only a ploy to prolong the pre-trial custody of the petitioner.

Adjourned to 2.5.2019.”

Counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the bail order dated 14.3.2019 passed in **CRM-M-10434-2019** titled as ***Paramjit Singh Ghuman vs. State of Punjab*** which was also a case of a co-accused.

Learned Senior DAG has accepted that petitioner is in that case is co-accused in the present case.

In the circumstances, I see no reason to take a different view.

In the circumstances, these petitions are disposed of in the terms of **CRM-M-10434-2019**. Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

CRM-M-14868-2019

In this petition counsel for the petitioner states the petitioner has been granted bail in an another FIR by the Supreme Court in ***Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No (s) 7314/2018*** titled as ***Harvinder Singh vs. The State of Punjab*** and prays that he be granted bail in the same terms.

Learned Senior DAG states that he has no objection if the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner is allowed.

In the circumstances, the petition is disposed of in the terms of ***Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No (s) 7314/2018***. Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Since the main cases have been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

9.5.2019
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No