

272

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-NO.29077-2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.04.2019

K.K.Chadha @ Krishan Kumar ChadhaPetitioner

versus

B.K.GoelRespondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present Mr.Rakesh Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Atul Laklhanpal, Sr.Advocate
with Mr. Harsh Garg, Advocate for respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (ORAL)

CRM-10837-2019

CRM is for placing on record the reply on behalf of respondent.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.

Accompanied reply on behalf of respondent is ordered to be taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Registry to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Main Case

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') for quashing of complaint No.339/17 dated 03.01.2017 (Annexure P-2) and summoning order dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act (for short 'NI Act').

At the very outset, learned senior counsel for the sole respondent stated at Bar that he has no objection in case the present petition is allowed and complaint as well as the summoning order qua the present petitioner i.e K.K.Chadha @ Krishan Kumar Chadha are quashed and

CRM-M-NO.29077-2017(O&M)

set aside.

In view of the above, present petition is allowed, complaint no.339/17 dated 03.01.2017 (Annexure P-2) and summoning order dated 15.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) qua petitioner are set aside.

However, it is clarified that quashing of the summoning order as well as the complaint qua K.K.Chadha @ Krishan Kumar Chadha shall not affect the merits of the case as the same has been allowed on the basis of concession made by learned senior counsel for the respondent.

10.04.2019
mamta

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No