

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29748-2018  
Date of decision:21.02.2019

Kanwaljit Singh @ Billa

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in respect of FIR No.18 dated 17.01.2018 under Section 379-B(2) and Section 411 Indian Penal Code, Police Station Station A-Division, District Amritsar.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Simran. It has been alleged that on the day of occurrence when she was returning back to her house after picking up her daughter from the school, then three clean shaven men came from behind on motorcycle and the person driving the motorcycle snatched the gold ear-ring worn by the complainant on her right ear, as a result of which her skin was torn.

The complainant was thereafter taken to the clinic of Dr. Ashok for treatment.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is neither named in the FIR nor apprehended at the spot and has been falsely implicated and as such deserves the concession of bail.
4. Opposing the petition, the learned State counsel submitted that pursuant to a disclosure statement made by co-accused Harjit Singh the petitioner came to be nominated who was later on identified by the complainant to be the accused. The learned State counsel has further informed that although the petitioner has been behind bars since last 11 months but he is a habitual offender and is involved in 8 other cases including cases of snatching.
5. Having considered rival contentions addressed before this Court and bearing in mind the conduct and antecedents of the petitioner, this Court does not find any ground to release the petitioner on bail.
6. The petition, as such, is sans merit and is hereby dismissed.
7. It is, however, clarified that none of the observations made above shall be construed to be an expression on merits of the main case.

**( GURVINDER SINGH GILL )**  
**JUDGE**

21.02.2019  
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?      **Yes / No**

Whether reportable?      **Yes / No**