

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2219 of 2019
Date of Decision: 01.04.2019

Deepak Chanana

-Petitioner

Vs

Jagan Nath Chanana and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate, for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Only grievance of the petitioner is that a compromise was effected between the parties on 14.02.2016. Necessary application was filed before the trial Court for disposal of the same in view of said compromise.
2. The trial Court instead of deciding the suit on the basis of compromise, has adjourned the case on number of times.
3. This revision petition can be disposed of by directing the trial Court to see whether the subject matter of the suit can be decided under Order 12 Rule 6 CPC or not?

4. If the parties are not at issue, the trial Court would consider the matter in accordance with law and proceed to decide the suit at the earliest.

5. Disposed of.

01.04.2019
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No