

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3345 of 2003 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 11.03.2019

Vinay Sindhu and othersPetitioners
versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Advocate for petitioner No.3.
Mr. Jasmeet Singh Bedi, Addl. Advocate General,
Haryana for the respondent-State of Haryana.
Mr. HN Mehtani, Advocate for HPSC.

..

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE: (Oral)

CM No. 15824 of 2018

Having heard learned counsel for petitioner No.3, the cause shown for non-appearance on the date of passing of the impugned order dated 08.10.2018 is sufficient. Accordingly, the order dated 08.10.2018 is recalled and the petition is restored to its original number.

Application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 3345 of 2003

Heard learned counsel for petitioner No.3. This petition initially was filed by three petitioners seeking the following main reliefs:-

- “b) a writ of certiorari or any other writ, order or direction be issued quashing the appointments of respondents No.3 to 66 (P-6).
- c) A writ of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction be issued directing the respondent-State to advertise the posts which became available subsequent to the advertisement dated January 29, 1999 (P-1) and the same be filled-in in accordance with the requirement of the Act of 2002.”

During pendency of the petition, on a prayer made on behalf of petitioners No.1 and 2, they were allowed to withdraw the petition and accordingly the petition on their behalf was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 04.03.2004. Thus, the petition survives only in respect of petitioner No.3.

It is an admitted case of petitioner No.3 that he never made any application for being appointed though it was claimed that he was eligible. Once he was not applicant for the post, he is left with no locus to challenge the appointments and to press for the prayers made hereinabove.

Petition accordingly stands dismissed for want of locus.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

11.03.2019
ravinder

NOTE:

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO