

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8793-2019

Date of decision: - 01.04.2019

Vishvanath and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the petitioners are challenging the orders dated 16.11.2018 (Annexure P-15 {Colly.}) by which claim of the petitioners has been rejected for the grant of 3rd time bound promotional increment on completion of 23 years' service has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that though the claim of the petitioners was rejected in November, 2018 but the respondents have issued a Clarification in respect of the grant of the said benefit on 21.08.2018 as well as on 01.10.2018. Therefore, in view of the Clarifications, the case of the petitioners is now squarely covered in their favour for the grant of the claimed benefit. Learned counsel further states that a bunch of writ petitions, wherein also the same prayer was made i.e. for the grant of promotional increment after completing 23 years of service, came for consideration before the Co-ordinate Bench and the said bunch of writ petitions was decided on 29.11.2018. The order was passed

in CWP No. 1013 of 2017 titled as 'Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another' and other connected cases. Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that ignoring the rejection of the case of the petitioners vide order dated 16.11.2018 (Annexure P-15 {Colly.}) and in view of the Resolutions passed by the respondent/corporation dated 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018, as well as the order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017, the cases of the petitioners need to be re-considered.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-PSPCL and states that the respondent-Corporation will consider the cases of the petitioners afresh in view of the facts noticed in the order and will pass the fresh orders in respect of the claim of the petitioners for the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the present writ petition can be disposed in terms of order passed in Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017.

Keeping in view the prayer made by the parties, the present writ petition is also disposed of in terms of order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench in Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

April 01, 2019
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No