

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

238

CRM-M-14672-2019

DATE OF DECISION:04.04.2019

NARENDER @ RAVINDER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. S.S.Verma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 1172, dated 22.11.2018, under Sections 302/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Model Town, Panipat. However, after investigation, Sections 302/34 IPC were deleted and Sections 279 and 304-A IPC were added. Subsequently, offence under Section 304-A IPC was deleted. Offence under Section 304(2) IPC was added and challan was presented under Section 279 and 304(2) IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that allegations against the petitioner is that he had been drinking alcohol along with two others and drove the truck which resulted into the death of a pedestrian. He, however, contends that there is no evidence that the petitioner was drunk at that time and the police has relied upon only on the oral testimony of another person with whom the petitioner was allegedly drinking. He also contends that the petitioner is 19 years of age and besides driving there is no other means of his livelihood. He is not involved in any other case.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is 19 years of age, he is in custody for over 3 months and the trial would likely to take some time, I deem it appropriate to grant him the concession of regular bail.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

04.04.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No