

Ruksar and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate with
Mr. Afzal Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No. 5 to 8 not to harass, humiliate and interfere with the matrimonial life of the petitioners and for directions to respondents No. 1 to 4 to protect their life and liberty as they have got married against the wishes of respondents No. 5 to 8.

Notice of motion.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that petitioner No. 1 namely Ruksar had earlier run away with petitioner No. 2 leading to registration of FIR No. 40 dated 24.04.2018 at Police Station Nuh under Sections 363, 368, 506 IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act, 2012. The police recovered petitioner No. 1 and got her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. on 25.04.2018. According to the said statement, she had been kidnapped by petitioner No. 2. Now, she has again been kidnapped by petitioner No. 2 and, thus, they are not entitled to seek any protection from this Court.

Petitioner No. 1 is present in Court and on being asked she states that

parents. She has married with petitioner No. 2 and wants to live with him.

The petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to ensure that life and liberty of the petitioners is protected. This order, however, does not opine on the legality or validity of the alleged marriage, neither does it restrain the police from taking action in accordance with law in the aforementioned FIR.

April 01, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No