

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(161)

CWP-8508-2019

Date of Decision: March 29, 2019

Hawa Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. N.S. Chaudhary, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the pay of the petitioner needs to be stepped-up equivalent to his juniors, the details of whom has been given in paragraph 4 of the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that Sh. Jaipal Kashyap who is junior to the petitioner, is getting higher pay and therefore, in view of the judgment of this Court passed in **CWP No.11254 of 2010, titled as Neelam Rani Vs. State of Haryana and other, decided on 15.05.2013**, the petitioner is entitled for the step-up of his pay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-4) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-4) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-4) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

March 29, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No