

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

**CRM-M-29057-2018
DATE OF DECISION:02.04.2019**

KULWINDER SINGH @ GAUTAM ND ANR ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner No.2-Rajwinder Singh @ Raju is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.276 dated 22.10.2017 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 147, 148, 149, 285, 307, 341, 427, 506 IPC and 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Dabwali, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in terms of the interim order passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court on 03.10.2018, granting interim bail to petitioner No.1, petitioner No.1 namely Kulwinder Singh @ Gautam had joined investigation and was released on interim bail. Subsequently, interim bail granted to petitioner No.1 Kulwinder Singh @ Gautam was made absolute by order dated 16.11.2018. However, petitioner No.2 was also directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and on his doing so, he was to be released on interim bail by order dated 16.11.2018 but he did not join the investigation.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Suresh Kumar, states that petitioner No.2 did not join investigation despite the orders passed by this Court on 16.11.2018 and 01.02.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is not in a position to dispute that petitioner No.2 has not joined investigation. Therefore, petitioner No.2, having failed to join investigation despite the orders of this Court, would not be entitled to any interim protection.

Consequently, the petition for anticipatory bail qua petitioner No.2 stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

02.04.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No