

208/A.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28764-2018

Date of decision:05.02.2019.

PALLAVI BATISH

... Petitioner

versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Imran Ahmad Ali, Advocate, for
Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Toor, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Ms. Harveen Kaur, Advocate,
for respondents No.6 and 7.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner-Pallavi Batish, who is the wife of respondent No.5-Sumit Batish, has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 to 4 to have a fair investigation in FIR No.60 dated 13.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station Women, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

Reply filed on behalf of respondents No.6 and 7 in court today, is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of UT, Chandigarh states that in the aforesaid FIR, investigation is already over and challan has been presented against respondents No.6 and 7 who are father-in-law and mother-

(husband of the petitioner) is residing abroad, he has been declared as PO and a lookout notice has been issued on 02.09.2016 against him.

In view of the fact that investigation in the case is already completed and challan has been presented against respondents No.6 and 7 coupled with the fact that look out notice has been issued against respondent No.5, present petition is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

05.02.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.