

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**CM-5075-CWP-2019
in/and RA-CW-148-2019
in CWP-16008-2018
Date of decision: 10.07.2019**

Puran Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Revenue) Punjab, Chandigarh & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Dinesh Ghai, Advocate, for the applicant/review/petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

CM-5075-CWP-2019

Application for condonation of delay of 136 days in filing the present review petition has been filed, in view of the fact that the applicant had approached the Division Bench against the order dated 10.10.2018, which has now been sought to be reviewed.

Accordingly, keeping in view the averments made in the application, duly supported by affidavit, same is allowed. Delay of 136 days in filing the present review petition, is hereby condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RA-CW-148-2019 in CWP-16008-2018

Application has been filed for review of the order dated 10.10.2018 (Annexure P-8).

Counsel has relied upon the revenue record which has now been placed on record, to submit that his case requires re-consideration by the revenue authorities below.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

-2-

Said documents were never part of the paperbook earlier and there was sufficient time available to the review/petitioner to place on record the same, before filing the writ petition. A perusal of the order dated 10.10.2018 would go on to show that on account of the cancellation of the allotment to the father of the petitioner was done wayback on 28.07.1958. No effort had been made thereafter till 31.03.2012, to agitate for the grievances, in view of the dictate of the Division Bench in **CWP-9517-2008** titled **R.K.Suneja & another Vs. State of Haryana & another**, decided on 10.11.2018 and it is clear that no application was pending on 06.09.2005. The claim has been denied on account of the re-pealing of the Act.

In such circumstances, the attempt to reargue the matter on merits, as such, does not fall within the purview of review jurisdiction. Accordingly, finding no merit in the present review petition, the same is accordingly, dismissed.

10.07.2019
Sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*