

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-8823-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.04.2019

Kuljit Singh & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate with
Ms. Baani Chhiber, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Pleadings on record indicate that vide order dated 3.8.2018 passed by the D.P.I (S.E), Punjab approval as regards appointment of the petitioners herein on the posts of Head Master and Clerk against aided posts was declined. Suffice it take note that approval was declined on the premise that the advertisement inviting applications for appointment to the posts in question had been issued only in one newspaper i.e. English newspaper and the requirement of Rule 7 of the Punjab Privately Managed Recognized School Employees (Security of Service) Rules, 1981 had not been met i.e. for the advertisement to be carried in two newspapers i.e. English and Vernacular. Matter having been carried to the Educational Tribunal, Punjab the order dated 3.8.2018 has not been interfered with but observations have been made by the Tribunal in its order dated 24.1.2019 (Annexure P-15) that it would be open for the D.P.I (S.E) to reconsider the matter and in case he is empowered, may relax the requirement of advertisement in two newspapers.

Instant writ petition is directed against the order dated 3.8.2018 passed by the D.P.I (S.E), Punjab as also the order dated 24.1.2019 passed by the Educational Tribunal, Punjab.

Counsel having argued the matter at some length prays for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to pursue the case of the petitioners with D.P.I (S.E), Punjab in the light of the observations contained in the order dated 24.1.2019 passed by the Educational Tribunal, Punjab.

Disposed of accordingly.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

09.04.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No