

Sr. No.383
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10938 of 1996 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.11.2019

Harmohinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Electricity Board and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. B.S.Patwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shranik Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Bhupesh Dogra, Advocate,
for the respondents.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his endeavours to establish contact with the petitioner have been futile.

Even otherwise, it seems that the nature of relief sought by the petitioner is either rendered infructuous by sheer pendency of the writ petition over past 23 years or the petitioner seems to have otherwise lost interest in the petition.

Be that as it may, writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate application, if so advised, in case any cause of action still survives.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

27.11.2019

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No