

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27133 of 2015
Date of Decision: 11.03.2019

Jagtar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurmeet Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Ms. Navneet Kaur, Advocate,
for Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Proxy counsel prays for adjournment on the ground that learned arguing counsel for the petitioner is in personal difficulty. However, perusal of file shows that instant petition was filed in August, 2015 and thereafter has been taken on board for ten times. Except one or two dates, every time adjournment is being sought on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner in the span of around more than three years and seven months. Proxy counsel is not able to tell the latest status of the trial. This Court hopes that trial must have been concluded by this time. Therefore, finding no justification to entertain the request for adjournment, this petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

March 11, 2019
R.S.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No