

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.28108 of 2018

Date of decision: 6th September, 2019

Rahul Bhandari & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Ms. Gurdeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ripudaman, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners at the very onset on instructions from his client has made statement that he wishes to withdraw the present petition qua petitioners No.2 and 3.

Allowed to do so. The instant petition on behalf of petitioners No.2 and 3, namely Vijay Kumar Bhandari and Saroj Bhandari respectively, stands dismissed as withdrawn.

Report dated 13.08.2019 of learned Civil Judge (Jr.Divn.)-cum-Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panchkula has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Lovina Bhatia and the accused petitioner No.1 Rahul Bhandari, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2 as well as learned counsel for the accused/petitioner have made statement that as per instructions received, the parties had given final effect to their agreement settlement/compromise (Annexure P2) and that nothing is due towards anyone on account of this settlement and that the final effect be given to this petition.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.46 dated 09.08.2016 under Section 406, 498A, 120B IPC pertaining to Police Station Women, Panchkula and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua petitioner No.1 Rahul Bhandari are quashed.

The petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 6, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No