

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8329 of 2019

Date of Decision : 28.03.2019

Kanwar Lal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Rajesh Tushar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that vide order dated 22.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP Nos. 3424 of 1994 and 16764 of 1994, this court has held that the employees who worked on the gazetted holidays, are entitled for the salary for the said work.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners are also entitled for the benefit of the said judgments but the same is not being extended on the ground that the petitioners were not party to the said writ petitions. Learned counsel further states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have made representation dated 03.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) to the respondents, which according to the petitioners is still pending consideration with the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the

representation by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim prayed in the present writ petition or in the representation, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 03.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

March 28, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*