

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

Crl. Misc. No.M-14068 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 28.03.2019

Daljeet Kaur

....Petitioner

VS.

The State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.13 dated 1.2.2019 registered at Police Station Jhansa, District Kurukshetra, under Sections 406, 420 IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983.

According to the FIR, the petitioner and her husband took a sum of ₹ 9.2 lacs from the complainant and his brother for sending them to Italy on a work permit. Instead they were sent to Macau from where they came back. The money has also not been returned thereafter. The husband of the petitioner gave two cheques for return of the money but they were dishonored. Thereafter, an agreement has been executed by him with the complainant undertaking to return the money or to transfer his residential house but the said undertaking has also not been honoured.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no role to play in the entire incident. She has been roped in only to pressurize her husband and, thus, she deserves to be granted anticipatory bail.

A perusal of the FIR shows that the petitioner has been specifically named as an accused in the complaint. At every stage, the complainant has

mentioned the presence of the petitioner and that she along with her husband had

approached the complainant through a middle-man. Under the circumstances, the argument that she has been falsely implicated, cannot be accepted.

There is no merit in the petition and the same is dismissed.

March 28, 2019
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No