

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-28081 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 18, 2019**

Vinay RatheePetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present:- Petitioner in person with
Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate.

Mr. Baljinder Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Respondent No.2 in person with
Mr. Raghav Goel, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Report dated 30.07.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram has been received whereby after recording statements of petitioner Vinay Rathee and complainant Soni Rathee, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure

matrimonial and the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**' **2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and '**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**' **2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No. 226 dated 26.10.2016 registered at Police Station Gurugram, District Gurugram under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 and 34 IPC, all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

September 18, 2019
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No