

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

235

**CWP No. 8199 of 2019
Date of Decision : 4.4.2019**

Ajay Hooda

.....**Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana and others

.....**Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Sandeep Vashisth, DAG, Haryana

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

I have considered the case of the petitioner and gone through the file. The petitioner had been granted 4 weeks agricultural parole. Now the petitioner has filed the present petition for extension of parole by 2 weeks.

I am of the view that the present petition is not maintainable as, if the petitioner was not satisfied with the period of 4 weeks parole, he should have challenged the order or he should have moved before the Commissioner for extension of parole.

No ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

4.4.2019

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Reportable	:	Yes/No