

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14054-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.05.2019

Madhu Verma

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Arvind Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.179 dated 28.07.2018 under Sections 448, 506, 511, 34 IPC (Sections 420, 201, 120-B IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 02.04.2019 :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner has entered into an agreement to sell with the complainant, however, she resiled therefrom and did not execute the sale deed. It is further submitted that in fact there is no proof against the petitioner with regard to Rs.38.00 lacs allegedly paid as earnest money and the complainant has already filed a

civil suit for possession by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell. Learned counsel further submits that during pendency of the anticipatory bail application before the Addl. Sessions Judge, vide order dated 12.02.2019, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation, however, later on, the said application was dismissed. It is also submitted that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Davinder Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 02.04.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

03.05.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No