

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.14084 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.04.2019**

Amandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- None for the petitioner.

H.C. Harpreet for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.08 dated 27.01.2016, under Sections 420, 467, 468 & 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City, Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 28.03.2019, passed the following order:-

“ Contends that petitioner was granted the concession of interim bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib, vide order dated 05.03.2019 (P-1), but in view of the fact that petitioner is a truck driver, he could not join the investigation. Also contends that petitioner is still ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion for 26.04.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his

arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today, Head Constable Harpreet, who is present in the Court, submits that petitioner has joined the investigation in terms of order dated 28.03.2019 and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

In view of above, interim order dated 28.03.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation and will not repeat such lapse in future.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

April 26, 2019
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>