

CRM-M-27815-2018

Date of decision: February 27, 2019

Mahabir Singh Chahal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J.** (Oral)

Prayer made in the petition is for issuance of directions to the respondents to consider the complaint filed by the petitioner No.2 against the private respondents.

It is stated in the petition that petitioners have been duped by taking undue advantage of the matrimonial alliance of petitioner No.2 with Jaswinder Kaur. His marriage was solemnized on 8.2.2013, but later on, FIR No. 477 dated 17.9.2016 under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC was registered in Police Station Sahabad. However, the private respondents have taken money from the petitioners on account of providing some employment.

It is further submitted in the petition that the petitioners have given a complaint Annexure P2 to the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula and similar complainants have also been given to other authorities.

Reply, by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, is on record. A separate reply has also been filed on behalf of respondents No.5 to 12.

In the reply of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula it is stated that after registration of FIR No.477, the challan has already been submitted on 19.12.2016 and on enquiry it is found that the complaint given by the petitioner is on account of the criminal proceedings pending against him. It is further submitted that from the statement of the complainant i.e. petitioner No.2, nothing was found and it was found that the case is of civil nature (money transactions) and, therefore, the complaint was filed on 20.4.2017. The other complaint filed by the petitioner regarding theft of jewelry after the registration of the aforementioned FIR was found to be false and motivated. In the separate reply filed by Jaswinder Kaur, wife of petitioner No.2, the allegations are denied and it is stated that there are multiple matrimonial litigations pending between and present petition has been filed just put pressure on the complainant party.

After hearing counsel for the parties, I find that the grievance of the petitioner stands resolved as the police, after investigation, has found no substance in the complaint filed by the petitioners.

Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 27, 2019**  
*satish*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No