

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.26911 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.11.2019**

Surjit Singh Dulle

.....Petitioner

Versus

Inderjit Bansal

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rekha Luhach, Advocate for
Mr. G.P.S.Bal, Advocate for the respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of Complaint No.15/1 dated 21.01.2012 (P-1) titled as Inderjit Bansal Versus Surjit Singh Dulle and others, under Sections 392, 452, 427, 447, 506, 323, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 as well as summoning order dated 24.09.2013 (P-2), passed by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phillaur along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

This Court, on 14.03.2019, passed the following order:-

“No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. On the previous four occasions also, no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner. A perusal of the paper book reveals that the trial Court was directed to adjourn the case beyond the date fixed by this Court on 11.08.2014. Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner for the last four occasions, the order dated 11.08.2014 is modified to the extent that the trial Court is directed to proceed in the matter expeditiously.

Adjourned to 19.09.2019.

However, passing of final judgment by the trial Court shall remain stayed.”

Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, therefore, this Court has no option except to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.

In view of above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

November 18, 2019

Gagan

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>