

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.2090 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.08.2019**

DEENU AND ORS

.....Petitioner

Vs

FAIJULLA TRHOUGH LRS AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Tushar Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
for respondents No.1, 3 and 4.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

During course of arguments, it came to the notice of the Court that CR No.1587 of 2019 was filed by the petitioners against the order dated 11.01.2019 passed by the Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.) Nuh vide which application for leading evidence of expert in rebuttal was dismissed. The said revision petition was dismissed as withdrawn on the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners vide order dated 09.04.2019.

Thereafter the present revision petition has been filed by concealing the factum of withdrawal of aforesaid earlier

revision petition. The cause of action set up by the petitioners is based on Ex.P-1 to Ex.P-3 i.e. the documents showing some report of Expert in a criminal case. Precisely the same relief was the subject matter of the earlier revision petition and the concealment thereof would give rise to an inference of not coming to the Court with clean hands.

It is a settled principle of law that a person, who has concealed material information from the Court and has not come to the Court with clean hands, does not deserve any equitable/discretionary relief.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw this revision petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

August 30, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No