

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14097-2019

Date of Decision:-15.05.2019.

Paras Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Saransh Sabharwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.28 dated 21.02.2019, registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 IPC at Police Station Women, Panchkula, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that nothing has been mentioned with regard to entrustment in the FIR but a bare perusal of the record shows that the complainant has already submitted the list of articles of gold/silver jewellery and other articles which were handed over to the petitioner and his family members.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that husband has already been arrested and granted bail, who is also present in Court but nothing has been recovered from the husband. All the dowry articles are in

possession of the petitioner. He was specifically entrusted with a gold ring which has not been returned. Even petitioner has joined the investigation and he undertook to return the articles in his possession within 15 days but nothing has been returned.

In this view of the matter no case is made out to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

May 15, 2019.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.