

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8418-2019

Date of decision: 2.5.2019

Satpal Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Anuj Baliyan, Advocate for the petitioners

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to take legal action against respondent No.3 under Section 51 read with Section 175 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 on the complaint dated 11.1.2019 (Annexure P-1) for misusing the government funds.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 District Collector, Yamuna Nagar to consider and decide the application / representation dated 11.1.2019 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vivek Saini, DAG, Haryana, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 District Collector, Yamuna Nagar to consider and decide the application / representation dated 11.1.2019 (Annexure P-1) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

2.5.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No