

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-2655 of 2015**

**Date of Decision: January 10, 2019**

|                              |        |                  |
|------------------------------|--------|------------------|
| Jugpal Singh @ Jagpal Singh  | Versus | .....Petitioner  |
| State of Haryana and another |        | .... Respondents |

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

Present :     Petitioner in person with  
                  Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

Respondent No.2 in person with  
Ms. Shelja Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Complainant Promila Devi and the accused petitioner namely Jugpal Singh @ Jagpal Singh have come present in person in this Court and have made statements on oath that the compromise Ex.C1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of their free will, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to end the dispute and have made statements that they have compromised the matter as per compromise Ex.C1 and shall abide by the same.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of

serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**' 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No. 261 dated 19.12.2011 registered at Police Station Bhuna under Sections 406, 420, 500, 506, 34 IPC and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, District Fatehabad and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

January 10, 2019  
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |