

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : May 08, 2019

1. CRM-M No.13988 of 2019

Sirajuddin vs State of Haryana

2. CRM-M No.19166 of 2019

Khushi Mohd and others vs State of Haryana

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG Haryana

Mr. Arun Dulgeh, Advocate
for Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the aforesaid two petitions.

The petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.56, dated 23.2.2019, registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 325, 326, 427, 452, 506 of the IPC, at Police Station Punhana, District Nuh Mewat.

On the last date, the following order was passed in CRM-M No.13988 of 2019:-

“ This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.56 dated 23.02.2019 registered under Sections 147/149/323/325/326/427/452/506 IPC at Police Station Punhana, District Nuh, Mewat.

Counsel for the petitioner states that actually there is a cross version also but since both the parties are

neighbours (the petitioner side who have been attributed more serious injuries) is willing to settle this dispute.

Counsel for the complainant states that in case the petitioner is willing to settle the dispute the complainant would have no objection.

Learned AAG has also accepted this stand because in both the FIRs there is a statement that the FIRs were delayed because both the parties were hoping for a compromise.

In the circumstances, I deem it appropriate to grant interim bail to the petitioner to enable him to make good the offer made by his counsel.

Adjourned to 08.05.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 18.04.2019 (from 09.00 AM to 05.00 PM) or on any other day on which the Investigating Officer requires his presence, and in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

Today, counsel for the petitioners, counsel for the complainant as well as learned AAG Haryana, on instructions from SI Satbir Singh, have stated that the matter has been compromised.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Resultantly, these petitions are allowed and it is directed that in the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

Since the main cases have been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 08, 2019
`kk'

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No