

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 27475 of 2018
Date of Decision:-04.09.2019**

Lal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in a Criminal Complaint case No.RBT No.23 dated 10.5.2017 (CIS No.4/2015) titled as “Balwinder Singh vs. Lal Singh and others” pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Zira, District Ferozepur, under Sections 302, 506, 120-B, 34 IPC. The petitioner apprehend his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 05.7.2018 whereby while issuing notice of

motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“It is the case of the complainant that Saraj Singh was driving the vehicle which resulted into death of deceased Sukhdev Singh. However, it is asserted that Saraj Singh had acted at the instance of petitioner Lal Singbh and his associates. Earlier he was in custody under Special Investigating Team who found that no case is made out and filed the untraced report. However, criminal complaint No.23 of 10.05.2017 was filed in which petitioner has been summoned to face trial for the offences under Sections 302, 506, 120-B, 34 IPC.

Notice of motion for 24.09.2018.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned trial Court within seven days and on doing so, he shall be released on interim bail by that Court to its satisfaction, subject to the conditions, if any, imposed by that Court.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the learned trial Court on 10.7.2018 and has furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court. Copy of the order dated 10.7.2018 passed by the trial Court has been placed on record.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by HC Saroop Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner submitted himself before the learned trial Court on 10.7.2018 and has furnished requisite bonds. Learned counsel on instructions from HC Saroop Singh further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is allowed to remain on bail on the same bail bonds and surety, furnished by him before the trial Court on 10.7.2018.

The petition stands allowed.

September 04, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No