

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27432-2018
DATE OF DECISION:-08.03.2019**

RAJ KUMAR JOON AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS...

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

A written request for adjournment has been circulated on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner.

However, perusal of file shows that this petition was filed on 02.07.2018 and for the first time, it was taken on board on 05.07.2018. On that date, learned arguing counsel had sought adjournment on account of illness of his father. Consequently, case was adjourned to 09.01.2019, on which date also, learned counsel for the petitioners sought adjournment. Therefore, the case was adjourned for today.

From the above factual aspect, it is evident that from the very date of filing the instant petition in July 2018, repeated adjournments are sought by learned counsel for the petitioners, either one pretext or other for the reasons best known to him.

Considering this aspect of the matter, written request circulated on behalf of the petitioners is rejected.

At this stage, learned proxy counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

08.03.2019
sonika

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
whether reportable:	Yes/No