

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8312 of 2019
Date of decision:-28.03.2019

M/s Swift International. Com

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Chirag Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

As per pleadings on record, petitioner is stated to be a Government contractor. In the year 2017 certain works were allotted to the petitioner by respondent No.4 i.e. The Deputy General Manager (NTR), BSNL, Ambala Cantt. The details of works have been enumerated in a tabulation contained in para 2 of the writ petition.

The short grievance raised in the petition is that inspite of the works having been executed and completed the payment of Rs.51,45,655/- has not been released.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Dushyant Bhardwaj, Advocate for Mr. Bhuwan Vats, Advocate, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent- UOI. Copy of the writ paper-book already stands furnished.

It is argued that the action of the respondent-authorities is arbitrary and unjust.

Counsel representing the petitioner makes a submission that a

representation dated 19.02.2019 (Annexure P-2) has also been filed and he would be satisfied if the writ petition was to be disposed of with a direction to the concerned authority to examine the same within a stipulated time frame.

Submission made by counsel is found to be just and reasonable.

In view of the above and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider the claim of the petitioner against the backdrop of the legal notice dated 19.02.2019 (Annexure P-2) and to take a decision thereupon expeditiously and in any case within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to observe that if any amount is found due the same would be released forthwith.

It is clarified that this Court has not examined the claim of the petitioner on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

28.03.2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No