

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2072 of 2019

Date of Decision: 27.03.2019

Paramjit Kaur and another

-Petitioners

Vs

Satinder Kumar and another

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Only grievance of the petitioners is that against the judgment and decree dated 04.09.2018 passed by the trial Court in a suit for specific performance, an appeal was preferred by the petitioners-judgment debtors before the lower Appellate Court along with miscellaneous application under Order 41 Rule 5 read with Section 151 CPC.

2. Notice of the appeal as well as application for stay was issued to the respondents-decree holders vide order dated 08.10.2018. Thereafter, the application was not taken up despite an application filed by the petitioners for early hearing of the application.

3. In the execution filed by the decree holders, the Executing Court is pressing hard for execution of sale deed with the process of the Court.

4. At this stage, without commenting upon merits of the case, it would be just and appropriate to direct the Additional District Judge, Bathinda (where the appeal and the miscellaneous application for stay are pending) to decide the pending application at the earliest in accordance with law.

5. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Disposed of.

7. Liberty is also granted to the petitioners to move any other additional application before the lower Appellate Court.

27.03.2019
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No