

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M-27114 of 2018.

Date of Decision: September 13, 2019.

Rakesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL.

Present: Mr.Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Parminder Singh-I, Advocate for respondent No.2.

-. -

Anil Kshetarpal, J. (Oral)

Additional Affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No.1 is taken on record.

After arguing the case for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to move an application under Section 245 Cr.P.C. for discharge with further liberty to file a fresh petition for the same purpose, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by the fresh order to be passed by the Judicial Magistrate. However, learned counsel for the petitioner apprehends that the learned Magistrate usually does not pass any reasoned order. There is no occasion for this Court to entertain any doubt. If the application is filed, the Court of learned Magistrate would dispose of the same by passing a speaking order.

With liberty aforementioned, the petition stands disposed of.

September 13, 2019

mohinder

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No