

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8099 of 2019

Date of decision : 03.05.2019

Sh. Jai Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Shantanu Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

Petitioner is challenging the order dated 19.05.2016 (Annexure P-10), whereby he has been retired from service on attaining the age of 55 years by giving him three months notice.

Against the aforesaid order, petitioner filed a review application on 11.08.2016 (Annexure P-12), which was not decided and thereafter, he sent a legal notice (Annexure P-16). Reply to the legal notice was given by the respondent-authorities on 09.01.2019 (Annexure P-17).

A perusal of reply dated 09.01.2019 (Annexure P-17) shows that entire record of the petitioner for the last 10 years was examined. In para no.2 of the reply, details of the punishments awarded to the petitioner have been given. While recording his ACR for the year 2011-2012, adverse remarks were given that his honesty was doubtful. Subsequently, his ACR for the year 2013-2014 has been taken to be good.

For the purpose of considering retirement of an employee at the age of 55 years, even one adverse entry with regard to his honesty is sufficient.

Accordingly, after going through the contents of the petition, no case for interference in the impugned order is made out, as the petitioner stands already retired from service. Moreover, he has filed the present petition after a gap of almost 02 years and 10 months.

No merits.

Dismissed.

03.05.2019
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No