

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(136)

CWP-8177-2019

Date of Decision: March 27, 2019

Faqir Chand

.. Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the case of the petitioner has been rejected on 13.01.2017 by stating that case of the petitioner is not covered under the instructions/finance circular No.20/2000.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the passing of the said order, a bunch of writ petitions claiming the same benefit have been disposed of by this Court. The same have been disposed of by this Court keeping in view the Clarification which has been issued by the Board on 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018 by which the finance circular mentioned above already stood amended.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that a Coordinate Bench of this Court disposed of a bunch of writ petition being CWP No.1013 of 2017 decided on 29.11.2018 wherein a direction has been given to consider the case of the employees for the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service in view of the amendments which have been carried out by the respondents-Board themselves.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the case of the petitioner needs to be reconsidered by the respondents in view of the subsequent events, which have taken place after the rejection of the case by the petitioner on 13.01.2017.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied, in case, the case of the petitioner is also considered in terms of the directions given by this Court in CWP No.1013 of 2017 decided on 29.11.2018 by ignoring the rejection of his case dated 13.01.2017.

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that in view of the subsequent events, the case of the petitioner will be considered afresh in view of the direction given by this Court while disposing of CWP No.1013 of 2017 and requests that the present writ petition be also disposed of in the same terms.

Keeping in view the request made by learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in CWP No.1013 of 2017 decided on 29.11.2018.

However, it is made clear that while considering the case of the petitioner, earlier rejection dated 13.01.2017 will be ignored.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 27, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No