

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8158 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision.03.10.2019

Neeraj Sharma

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the writ petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to recommend name of the petitioner being next in merit, in view of letter dated 26.02.2019 (Annexure P-9) against the post of HCS (Executive Branch) in General Category after treating the unfilled vacant post earlier reserved for Special Backward Class Category to be of unreserved/General Category, in view of letter dated 05.03.2010 (Annexure P-10).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana to consider the representation dated 15.03.2019 (Annexure P-14) in view of Annexures P-9 & P-10 within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.1-Chief Secretary

to Government of Haryana to consider the representation dated 15.03.2019 (Annexure P-14) in view of Annexures P-9 & P-10 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and pass a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.1 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner. This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner running from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

October 03, 2019

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No