

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15229 of 2019
Date of Decision:02.05.2019

Prateek Bhatia

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anr

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. R.S. Cheema, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Sumanjit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2, despite service.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal complaint No. 7/18 dated 17.01.2018 (P-17), under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 325, 363, 364, 367, 452, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

On 03.04.2019, while issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Contents that in connected matter bearing No. CRM-M-12921-2019, this Court has already issued notice of motion and interim relief has been granted.

Notice of motion for 02.05.2019.

Interim order in the same terms as in CRM-M-12921-2019.

To be heard along with CRM-M-12921-2019.”

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has produced the photocopy of the order dated 06.04.2019 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Karnal to the effect that in terms of the

order dated 20.03.2019, the petitioner has joined proceedings before learned trial Court.

In view of the above, the order dated 03.04.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

However, it is clarified that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with proceedings before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

May 02, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no