

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

242

**CRM-M-26925 of 2018
Date of Decision: 17.01.2019.**

Vaishali Chaudhari and anotherPetitioners.

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and othersRespondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Roopak Bansal, Amicus Curiae.

Mr. Sumit Jain, APP, U.T., Chandigarh
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Advocate
for respondents No.4, 6 and 7.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Written submissions submitted by learned *Amicus Curiae* taken into consideration.

At this stage, there is no need to proceed further with regard to the step of furnishing incorrect address by the petitioners. Otherwise, the petitioners are residents of Aligarh, but for sometime they resided in Chandigarh and that is why they had given the address of Chandigarh.

In view of the above, order dated 28.06.2018 qua protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, is made absolute. However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners.

It is also made clear that there shall be no interim protection or direction to the respondent-State regarding investigation and taking legal action against the petitioners, if any.

With the above said observations made and directions issued the present petition is disposed of.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

17.01.2019
komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No