

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8095 of 2019
Date of decision:-09.04.2019

Bhavika Singla

.....Petitioner

versus

Regional Secretary Central Board of Secondary Education and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Ms. Tanvi Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner had earlier approached this Court by filing CWP No.747 of 2019 assailing an order dated 12.11.2018 issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education, Regional Office, Panchkula and in terms of which the request of the petitioner seeking correction in the matriculation certificate and for name of his father to read as Tarsem Lal instead of Tarsem Kumar had been rejected.

Rejection of the request of the petitioner was on the premise that the petitioner was a student of New Model Sr. Secondary School, Village and Post Office Chaunda, Sangrur, when she had appeared in the matriculation examination and the school record does not support the desired correction. Under such circumstances, no infirmity was found in the order dated 12.11.2018 passed by the CBSE and the writ petition was disposed of on 14.01.2019 granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the school in question for correction and updation of the school record and to thereafter approach to CBSE,

Petitioner apparently in pursuance to the liberty granted, approached the respondent-school seeking the necessary correction in the school records and the documents at Annexures P-7 and P-8 would indicate that the school records has since been updated and name of the father of the petitioner is now recorded as Tarsem Lal.

The short grievance raised in the instant petition is that the Central Board of Secondary Education, having been approached afresh after correction of the school records, no decision on the request/prayer of the petitioner, has been taken.

Counsel submits that a representation dated Nil at Annexure P-9 has been submitted and she would be satisfied if the writ petition was to be disposed of with a direction to the concerned authority to consider the same and to take a final decision thereupon.

Prayer made by counsel is found to be just and reasonable.

In view of the above, instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1/competent authority to examine the prayer of the petitioner against the backdrop of the representation placed on record at Annexure P-9 and to take a final decision thereupon within a period of four weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order and to convey the same to the petitioner.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

09.04.2019
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No