

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-13819-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 27.08.2019

VINIT

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Manan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had been arraigned as an accused as he is alleged to have purchased the vehicle which was found to have been snatched by the other accused. In pursuance to the disclosure statement of the co-accused Monu and Sonu, the vehicle was allegedly recovered from the house of the petitioner. He also contends that the other co-accused have been acquitted on the conclusion of the trial.

Learned State counsel, however, contends that the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed offender by the competent Court on 30.04.2019.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner shall be surrendering before the trial Court and preferring an application for regular bail.

In the event of the petitioner surrendering before the trial Court and applying for regular bail, the same shall be decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of.

27.08.2019

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

SwarnjitS