

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26771-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.3.2019

Gurwant

... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Advocate.
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.20 dated 12.6.2018 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 354-A, 354-D, 506 of Indian Penal Code and 67-C of I.T. Act, at Police Station Women Sirsa, District Sirsa.

Briefly stated, the petitioner was working as a part-time clerk (Munshi) with a lady advocate. While sitting on her seat, he used to handle her mobile phone and make calls to the clients and also made some objectionable whatsapp messages to the prosecutrix. When she objected, the petitioner threatened that he had hacked her mobile phone and now chat recorded therein shall be considered as of her. He also threatened to kill her and told that he will put those messages in the facebook as well as other

media.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and gone through record.

Although the petitioner has placed on record the photograph of the prosecutrix with him and asserts that he has love affairs with her but this fact has been denied by the prosecutrix. He was working as part time clerk and had created obscene whatsapp messages as well as photograph.

Keeping in view the allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Dismissed.

March 12, 2019
Paritosh Kumar

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No