

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.25771 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.03.2019

Paramjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate
with Ms. Bably Kumari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate
with Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the investigation has been completed and the challan has been presented.

However, learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant submits that there are allegations of mis-appropriation of huge amount. Initially, the FIR was not registered. Subsequently by filing the present petition before this Court, the FIR was registered.

Since the challan has been presented and now the case is fixed before the trial Court, the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the complainant or any other similarly situated person is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy on civil/criminal side if aggrieved in any manner.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

13.03.2019

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No