

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8067 of 2019

Date of Decision : 27.03.2019

Hawa Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

The claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that he was suspended on 06.06.2002 on account of registration of an FIR No. 159 dated 12.04.2001, under Sections 409, 120-B IPC. After the acquittal in the above mentioned FIR, the petitioner was reinstated in service on 09.12.2010. Petitioner filed a representation for regularization of his suspension period, which request was declined by the respondents vide order dated 10.04.2018 (Annexure P-5). Against the said order, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the Appellate Authority on 02.05.2018 but despite expiry of approximately 11 months, no order has been passed on appeal, though the petitioner has also filed several reminders with the appellate authority.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the

appellate authority to decide the appeal in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made by the counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in case not already decided.

However, it is made clear that this Court expresses no opinion on the merits of the case and the claim made by the petitioner either in the present writ petition or in the appeal.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

March 27, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*