

203-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13696 of 2019

Date of decision: October 24, 2019

Sukhpal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners, in FIR No.235 dated 13.12.2018, under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur.

On 27.03.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that co-accused, namely, Satpal Kaur and Surjit Kaur have already been granted the benefit of pre-arrest bail by this Court vide order dated 18.03.2019 and the allegations against the petitioners are similar in nature.

Notice of motion for 22.04.2019.

To be heard along with CRM-M-12524-2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the

event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit them to interim bail till the next date of hearing in the present case on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners are also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Darshan Lal, has stated that in terms of order dated 27.03.2019, the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 27.03.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 24, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No