

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231

CRM-M-2552-2017

Date of Decision:31.05.2019

Nubo and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, D.A.G., Punjab.

None for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to conduct fair, impartial and expeditious investigation in the representation dated 29.12.2016 (Annexure P-6) submitted by them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that respondent Nos.4 and 5 have approached this Court by way of **CRM-M-40638-2016 (Bua and another Versus State of Punjab and others)** and sought protection to their life and liberty by concealing the fact that marriage between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.4 is still subsisting.

This Court has perused the order dated 15.11.2016 (Annexure P-4) . The said petition was disposed of by this Court with the following

observations:-

“Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the allegations made, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur that in case the petitioners move an application before him seeking protection for their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is in danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.”

Perusal of the aforesaid order shows that this Court has not given any declaration as regards validity of the marriage. Therefore, the argument of the learned counsel for the petitioners that respondent Nos.4 and 5 have approached this Court by concealing the factum of earlier marriage is of no relevance as this Court has specifically observed that “the direction is without validity of the marriage”.

No ground for issuance of any directions is made out.

Dismissed.

May 31, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No