

Crl. Misc. No. M-26406 of 2018

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-26406 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:15.01.2019

Niay Pal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. SPS Sidhu, Advocate
for petitioner No.1-Niay Pal.

Mrs. Kiran Bala Jain, Advocate
for petitioner No.2-Kalam Singh.

Mr. RS Doon, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.K. Handa, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that in compliance of order dated 20.6.2018, the petitioners have joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned counsel for respondent-State.

However, learned counsel for complainant has opposed bail on the ground that recovery is yet to be effected from the petitioners as money was received but no sale deed was executed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that money was received for the land for which the sale deed was executed.

The allegations are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by

Crl. Misc. No. M-26406 of 2018(2)

the trial Court. In case the complainant is aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate civil remedy.

Accordingly, interim order dated 20.6.2018 passed in favour of the petitioners is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 15, 2019
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No